

Hearing Date: October 16, 2008 at 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)
Objections Due: October 13, 2008 at 4:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART
OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, New York 10010
Telephone: (212) 849-7000
Kathleen M. Sullivan (KS5492)
Faith E. Gay (FG9357)
Susheel Kirpalani (SK8926)

*Proposed Special Counsel for the Official
Committee Of Unsecured Creditors of
Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

----- X
In re: : Chapter 11 Case
: :
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., : No. 08-13555 (JMP)
et al. : :
: Jointly Administered
Debtors. :
----- X

**NOTICE OF MOTION OF OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS
OF LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.
FOR LEAVE TO CONDUCT DISCOVERY OF JPMORGAN CHASE
BANK, N.A. PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) AND 1103(c)
AND FEDERAL RULE OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE 2004**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a hearing on the annexed Motion of Official
Committee Of Unsecured Creditors Of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (the "Creditors'
Committee") for leave to conduct discovery of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. pursuant to 11
U.S.C. §§ 105(a) and 1103(c) and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2004, as more fully
described in the Motion, will be held before the Honorable James M.
Peck, United States Bankruptcy Judge, at the United States Bankruptcy Court, Alexander
Hamilton Customs House, Courtroom 601, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004

(the “Bankruptcy Court”), on **October 16, 2008 at 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)** (the “Hearing”).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that objections, if any, to the Motion shall be in writing, shall conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”) and the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, shall set forth the name of the objecting party, the basis for the objection and the specific grounds thereof, shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court electronically in accordance with General Order M-242 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov) by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court’s case filing system and by all other parties in interest, on a 3.5 inch disk, preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format (with two hard copies delivered directly to Chambers), and shall be served upon: (i) the chambers of the Honorable James M. Peck (“Chambers”), One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, Courtroom 601; (ii) Weil Gotshal & Manges LLP, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153, Attn: Richard P. Krasnow, Esq., Lori R. Fife, Esq., Shai Y. Waisman, Esq., and Jacqueline Marcus, Esq., attorneys for the Debtors; (iii) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York (the “U.S. Trustee”), 33 Whitehall Street, 21st Floor, New York, New York 10004, Attn: Andy Velez-Rivera, Esq., Paul Schwartzberg, Esq., Brian Masumoto, Esq., Linda Riffkin, Esq., and Tracy Hope Davis, Esq.; (iv) Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP, 1 Chase Manhattan Plaza, New York, New York 10005, Attn: Dennis F. Dunne, Esq., Dennis O’Donnell, Esq., and Evan Fleck, Esq. and Quinn Emanuel Urquhart Oliver & Hedges, LLP, 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor, New York, New York 10010, Attn: Susheel Kirpalani, Esq., James C. Tecce, Esq., and Scott C. Shelley Esq., proposed counsel and special counsel, respectively, to the official committee of unsecured creditors appointed in these cases;

(v) the attorneys for any other official committee(s) appointed in these chapter cases; (vi) Cleary Gottlieb LLP, One Liberty Plaza, New York, New York 10006, Attn: Lindsee P. Granfield, Esq. and Lisa Schweitzer, Esq. and Sullivan & Cromwell LLP, 125 Broad Street, New York, New York 10004, Attn: Robinson B. Lacy, Esq. and Hyde R. Feldstein, Esq., attorneys for the Debtors' postpetition lenders; and (vii) any person or entity with a particularized interest in the Motion, so as to be received no later than **October 13, 2008, at 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)** (the "Objection Deadline").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if an objection to the Motion is not received by the Objection Deadline, the relief requested shall be deemed unopposed, and the Bankruptcy Court may enter an order granting the relief sought without a hearing.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that objecting parties are required to attend the Hearing, and failure to appear may result in relief being granted or denied upon default.

Dated: October 2, 2008
New York, New York

**QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART
OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP**

/s/ Susheel Kirpalani
Kathleen M. Sullivan (KS5492)
Faith E. Gay (FG9357)
Susheel Kirpalani (SK8926)
51 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10010
Telephone No.: (212) 849-7000
Facsimile No.: (212) 849-7100

*Proposed Special Counsel for Official Committee
Of Unsecured Creditors Of Lehman Brothers
Holdings Inc.*

Hearing Date: October 16, 2008 at 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)
Objections Due: October 13, 2008 at 4:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART
OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, New York 10010
Telephone: (212) 849-7000
Kathleen M. Sullivan (KS5492)
Faith E. Gay (FG9357)
Susheel Kirpalani (SK8926)

*Proposed Special Counsel for the Official
Committee Of Unsecured Creditors of
Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.*

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X
In re: : Chapter 11 Case
: :
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., : No. 08-13555 (JMP)
et al. : :
: Jointly Administered
Debtors. :
----- X

**MOTION OF OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS OF LEHMAN
BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC. FOR LEAVE TO CONDUCT DISCOVERY OF
JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A. PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) AND 1103(c)
AND FEDERAL RULE OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE 2004**

The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Creditors' Committee") of
Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. ("LBHI"), pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) and 1103(c) and
Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2004, moves this Court for entry of an order¹ authorizing
and directing discovery from JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. ("JPMC" or the "Respondent") in the
form of the following: (i) responses to the document requests attached to this motion as Exhibit
2 or substantially similar document requests; and (ii) the deposition of a representative of the
Respondent who is most knowledgeable about the matters for examination set forth in Exhibit 3

¹ A proposed Order is attached as Exhibit 1.

pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6).² In support of its motion, the Creditors' Committee respectfully represents as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Rule 2004 discovery of JPMC is necessary in order for the Creditors' Committee to carry out its statutory authority to investigate the financial affairs of the Debtors to discover assets and expose any conduct that may give rise to causes of action of the estate. The Creditors' Committee understands that as of the Friday before the filing of the bankruptcy petition, LBHI had at least \$17 billion in excess assets in the form of cash and securities, which were held at JPMC. JPMC's refusal to make those assets available to LBHI and its subsidiaries in the days leading up to LBHI's bankruptcy filing may have contributed to LBHI's liquidity constraints. As such, discovery of JPMC is necessary in order to determine the basis for JPMC's refusal.

2. In addition, the Creditors' Committee has learned that LBHI purportedly guaranteed certain obligations of its subsidiaries, and also transferred the aforementioned property to JPMC to secure such obligations, on the eve of bankruptcy. The Creditors' Committee is authorized by the Bankruptcy Code to understand the intent, effect, consideration, and financial condition of LBHI at the time of such transfer.³

² If this Court grants its motion, the Creditors' Committee anticipates that it will serve deposition notices after it has received and reviewed any documents produced in response to its discovery requests. The Creditors' Committee reserves the right to seek authority to conduct additional examinations of individuals at JPMC based on a review of the documents provided.

³ On the "first day" of these bankruptcy cases, the Court authorized JPMC to look to the property transferred on the eve of bankruptcy as collateral for its purported guaranty from LBHI. The Creditors' Committee has subsequently confirmed in writing with JPMC that nothing in the Court's order precludes the investigation of such transaction and, if warranted, the pursuit of claims in respect thereof.

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

3. On September 15, 2008 (the "Petition Date"), LBHI filed a voluntary petition with this Court for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code (11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1532). The following day, on September 16, 2008, LB 745 LLC (collectively, with LBHI, the "Debtors") also filed for Chapter 11 protection. The Debtors continue to manage and operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1107(a) and 1108.

4. The Debtors' Chapter 11 cases are being jointly administered pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b) and this Court's order dated and entered September 17, 2008. No trustee or examiner has been appointed. The Creditors' Committee was appointed on September 17, 2008.

5. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2). Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory predicates underlying the relief requested in this motion are sections 105(a) and 1103(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 2004.

III. RELEVANT FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. The Debtors' Businesses and Capital Needs

6. LBHI's businesses consist of the Debtors and various non-debtor subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, "Lehman Brothers"). Prior to the Petition Date, Lehman Brothers was the fourth largest investment bank in the United States. It offered a full array of financial services in equity and fixed income sales, trading and research, investment banking,

asset management, private investment management and private equity.⁴ As of May 31, 2008, Lehman Brothers reported consolidated assets totaling approximately \$639 billion, and consolidated liabilities totaling approximately \$613 billion.⁵

7. The vast majority of LBHI's funding for operations was provided by financing. According to the Debtors, as of May 31, 2008, LBHI owed approximately \$110.5 billion on senior unsecured notes, approximately \$12.6 billion on subordinated unsecured notes, and approximately \$5 billion on junior subordinated notes.⁶

8. In addition, Lehman Brothers generally purchased highly liquid securities using secured financing obtained through tri-party repurchase agreements. In such an agreement, a custodian bank or clearing organization acts as an intermediary between Lehman Brothers and its funding counterparties. According to the Debtors, as of May 31, 2008, the outstanding amount owed under such tri-party repurchase agreements was approximately \$188 billion.⁷

B. Events Leading To Chapter 11 Filing

9. The Debtors have advised this Court that these Chapter 11 cases were precipitated by deteriorating conditions in the global financial markets, including but not limited to, a lack of liquidity in the credit markets, significantly depressed volumes in most equity markets, a widening in certain fixed income credit spreads as compared to the end of the 2007 fiscal year, and declining asset values.⁸ In addition, according to the Debtors, these conditions in

⁴ Affidavit of Ian T. Lowitt Pursuant to Rule 1007-2 of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York in Support of First-Day Motions and Applications ("First Day Aff.") at ¶ 5.

⁵ First Day Aff. at ¶ 6.

⁶ First Day Aff. at ¶ 16.

⁷ First Day Aff. at ¶¶ 18-19.

⁸ First Day Aff. at ¶ 20.

the financial markets were compounded by a general down turn in the global economy, consisting of slowed growth in major economies as a result of declining business and consumer confidence, global inflation, increases in commodity prices, with oil and gold reaching record levels, increasing costs of industrial production, reductions in consumer spending due to lower levels of disposable income, and falling private sector employment growth.⁹

10. The combination of these conditions in the financial markets and the global economy resulted in downward pressure on financial asset prices.¹⁰ Because Lehman Brothers purchased many of its assets using secured credit obtained under tri-party repurchase agreements, when the market value of the pledged assets began to deviate from the pledged value of those assets, secured lenders imposed “haircuts” (discounts) on Lehman Brothers.¹¹

C. The Creditors' Committee's Examination To Date Has Uncovered Additional Factors That May Have Contributed to or Caused LBHI's Demise

11. Based on its examination of the Debtors’ public statements and filings, the First Day Affidavit filed on September 16, 2008, and other publicly available information, the Creditors' Committee believes that there may have been additional factors that contributed to or caused the demise of Lehman Brothers.

12. Starting in mid-2007, a downturn in the capital markets had a negative impact on LBHI due to its emphasis on leveraged finance underwriting, residential mortgage origination and securitization, and commercial real estate finance.¹² Although hedges largely offset the deterioration in the value of certain of LBHI's assets on its balance sheet during the

⁹ First Day Aff. ¶ 21.

¹⁰ First Day Aff. ¶ 22.

¹¹ First Day Aff. ¶ 23.

second half of 2007, these hedges were insufficient during the first half of 2008.¹³ As a result, LBHI had only breakeven pretax earnings in the first quarter of 2008 and incurred a \$4.3 billion pretax loss in the second quarter.¹⁴ Despite these challenges, LBHI was viewed by most as a strong franchise across its core investment banking, trading, and investment management business. It had adequate liquidity and good earnings-generating ability in the long term.¹⁵

13. On September 10, 2008, LBHI announced its preliminary third quarter results. Among other things, these results showed that LBHI ended the third quarter with: (i) an estimated net loss of \$3.9 billion; (ii) a gross mark-to-market adjustment of \$7.8 billion; (iii) a total stockholders' equity of \$28.4 billion, up from \$26.3 billion; and (iv) a liquidity pool of \$42 billion.¹⁶ LBHI also held a conference call with its investors that same day and stated that it had a \$15 billion cash surplus.¹⁷

14. In conjunction with the announcement of its preliminary third quarter results, LBHI also unveiled a comprehensive plan of initiatives to reduce dramatically its commercial real estate and residential mortgage exposure, generate additional capital through the

¹² Stephen Taub, Rating Itself: S&P Defends Lehman's "A", CFO, Sept. 24, 2008, http://www.cfo.com/printable/article.cfm/12295579/c_2984321?f=options.

¹³ Id.

¹⁴ Id.

¹⁵ Heidi N. Moore, Black Holes of Value at Lehman Brothers, WALL ST. J., Sept. 12, 2008, <http://blogs.wsj.com/deals/2008/09/12/black-holes-of-value-at-lehman-brothers/>; Stephen Taub, Rating Itself: S&P Defends Lehman's "A", CFO, Sept. 24, 2008, http://www.cfo.com/printable/article.cfm/12295579/c_2984321?f=options.

¹⁶ Press Release, Lehman Brothers, Lehman Brothers Announces Preliminary Third Quarter Results and Strategic Restructuring, (Sept. 10, 2008) (available at <http://www.lehman.com/newsroom>).

¹⁷ Heidi N. Moore, Live-Blogging the Lehman Conference Call, WALL ST. J., Sept. 10, 2008, <http://blogs.wsj.com/deals/2008/09/10/live-blogging-the-lehman-conference->

sale of a majority stake of its Investment Management Division and reduce the annual dividend, all in an effort to maximize value for clients, creditors, shareholders, and employees.¹⁸

15. LBHI's efforts were stymied by a series of events over the next several days. On or about September 9, 2008, the Korean Development Bank ("KDB"), a state-owned financial institution with whom LBHI was in discussions to sell parts of its business, revealed that it was no longer interested in a strategic transaction with LBHI.¹⁹

16. That same day, two leading credit rating agencies, Fitch Ratings, Ltd. ("Fitch") and Standard and Poor's Rating Services ("S&P") placed LBHI's rating on review for potential downgrades, claiming uncertainty about its ability to raise capital based on the decline in its share price.²⁰

17. On September 11, 2008, analysts from Goldman Sachs, Citigroup, and Merrill Lynch downgraded LBHI stock from "buy" recommendations issued just six months earlier to "hold" recommendations. One of these analysts acknowledged that the stock's decline "has the potential to further negatively impact the confidence and perception around the Lehman franchise, as well as making the ability to raise a large amount of capital less likely and more

call/trackback/ ; Lehman Struggles to Shore Up Confidence, WALL ST. J., Sept. 11, 2008, http://online.wsj.com/public/article_print/SB122109572989621863.html.

¹⁸ Press Release, Lehman Brothers, Lehman Brothers Announces Preliminary Third Quarter Results and Strategic Restructuring, (Sept. 10, 2008) (available at <http://www.lehman.com/newsroom>).

¹⁹ Heidi N. Moore, Should the Federal Reserve Bank Bail Out Lehman? Can It?, WALL ST. J., Sept. 9, 2008, <http://blogs.wsj.com/deals/2008/09/09/should-the-fed-step-in-to-help-lehman-can-it/>.

²⁰ Susanne Craig, Randall Smith, Serena Ng and Matthew Karnitschnig Lehman Faces Mounting Pressures, WALL ST. J., Sept. 10, 2008, <http://online.wsj.com/article/SB122103833291118977.html>.

dilutive." Another noted "the change in rating agency posture" as "an unexpected negative that may create a distressed sale situation" in his downgraded recommendation.²¹

18. That same day, Moody's Investors Services, Inc. ("Moody's") also placed LBHI's credit rating on review for potential downgrades and additionally threatened to lower its credit rating from its current A2 level unless LBHI was able to negotiate "a strategic transaction with a strong partner."²² The effects of Moody's actions were immediately apparent. LBHI shares dropped 42% to \$4.22 on Thursday, and on Friday, the stock closed down another 13.51 percent at \$3.65.²³

19. In the two weeks leading up to LBHI's bankruptcy filing, anywhere from 10 to 16.8 percent of LBHI's shares were shorted daily.²⁴ The Creditors' Committee believes that some of this short selling may have occurred in the absence of actual borrowing, or arrangements to borrow, LBHI's stock. Although the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") had issued an emergency order²⁵ pertaining to short selling on July 15, 2008, that Order was limited

²¹ David Gaffen Mega-Analyst Box Score: Lehman Brothers, WALL ST. J., Sept. 11, 2008, <http://blogs.wsj.com/marketbeat/2008/09/11/mega-analyst-box-score-lehman-brothers/>.

²² Randall Smith, Lehman's Revamp Plan Draws Doubters, WALL ST. J., Sept. 11, 2008, http://online.wsj.com/article/SB122103219388318869.html?mod=ITPAWSJ_1.

²³ Rob Curran, Lehman Slides 42%; Volatile AIG Advances, WALL ST. J., Sept. 12, 2008, <http://online.wsj.com/article/SB122114419650923589.html>.

²⁴ Kara Scannell, Gregory Zuckerman and Liz Rappaport, Uncaged Bears Hit Lehman Stock Hard, WALL ST. J., Sept. 13, 2008, <http://online.wsj.com/article/SB122124956990029341.html>.

²⁵ Emergency Order Pursuant to Section 12(k)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Taking Temporary Action to Respond to Market Developments, Exchange Act Release No. 34-58166 (Jul. 15, 2008) (available at www.sec.gov/rules/other/2008/34-58166.pdf). The July 15, 2008 Order recognized the impact of rumor mongering and naked short selling on Bear Stearns, and was intended to prevent such activities from impacting other similar financial institutions. Id. at 1.

in that among other things, (i) it prohibited only the practice of naked short selling as opposed to all short selling; and (ii) it was effective only from July 21 to August 12, 2008.²⁶

20. After the collapse of Lehman Brothers, the SEC instituted two more expansive measures designed to strengthen investor confidence and protect the integrity of the securities market. First, on September 17, 2008, the SEC took several coordinated actions against *naked* short selling which applied to the securities of *all* public companies.²⁷ On the following day, the SEC issued an order prohibiting *any* short selling of the stocks of a much broader list (799, to be precise) of financial institutions.²⁸ These measures were reportedly instituted following calls from Morgan Stanley CEO John Mack and Goldman Sachs CEO Lloyd Blankfein requesting "new, emergency rules to prevent abuses in the market by investors betting on drops in Morgan Stanley and Goldman shares."²⁹ Unfortunately, both measures were

²⁶ On July 29, 2008, the SEC extended the July 15, 2008 Order until August 12, 2008. See Order Extending Emergency Order Pursuant to Section 12(k)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Taking Temporary Action to Respond to Market Developments, Exchange Act Release No. 34-58248 (Jul. 29, 2008) ([available at www.sec.gov/rules/other/2008/34-58248.pdf](http://www.sec.gov/rules/other/2008/34-58248.pdf)).

²⁷ Emergency Order Pursuant to Section 12(k)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Taking Temporary Action to Respond to Market Developments, Exchange Act Release No. 34-58572 (Sept. 17, 2008) ([available at www.sec.gov/rules/other/2008/34-58572.pdf](http://www.sec.gov/rules/other/2008/34-58572.pdf)). Among other things, this order required that short sellers and their broker-dealers deliver securities by the close of business three days after the sale transaction date (the "settlement date"). It also adopted Rule 10b-21, which expressly targets fraudulent short selling transactions by providing that short sellers who lie about their intention or ability to deliver securities in time for settlement are violating the law when they fail to deliver. *Id.*

²⁸ Emergency Order Pursuant to Section 12(k)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Taking Temporary Action to Respond to Market Developments, Exchange Act Release No. 34-58592 (Sept. 18, 2008) ([available at www.sec.gov/rules/other/2008/34-58592.pdf](http://www.sec.gov/rules/other/2008/34-58592.pdf)).

²⁹ Aaron Lucchetti and Kate Kelly, *Goldman, Morgan Rewrite Playbooks*, WALL ST. J., Oct. 2, 2008, <http://online.wsj.com/article/SB1222906700215196457.html>.

instituted too late to assist LBHI when large percentages of its stock were being shorted in the weeks before its bankruptcy filing.³⁰

21. Despite the decline in share price, LBHI appears to have had adequate assets to meet its current obligations as of Friday, September 12, 2008.³¹ The book value of its equity was \$28 billion. Moreover, the Creditors' Committee understands that LBHI had at least \$17 billion in excess assets consisting of cash and securities, which were held at JPMC.

D. JPMC's Role in the Events Leading to LBHI's Bankruptcy Filing

22. LBHI depended on collateral-backed credit lines or repurchase agreements to fund its business. LBHI's collateral included securities backed by mortgages and derivatives, as well as private equity investments and other assets.

23. JPMC, one of the world's largest securities clearing organizations, provided cash advances to LBHI to fund overnight repurchase agreements. JPMC was a party to various clearance agreements with LBHI and certain of its subsidiaries (the "Clearance Agreements"). The obligations of the Lehman Brothers entities under the Clearance Agreements

³⁰ Recently, the SEC has come under fire for failures in its enforcement duties. See SEC's Oversight of Bear Stearns and Related Entities: Broker-Dealer Risk Assessment Program, Report No. 446-B (Sept. 25, 2008) (available at www.sec.gov/about/oig/audit/2008/446-b.pdf). These failures in enforcement "hinder[] the Commission's ability to foresee or respond to weaknesses in the financial markets" and impacts its "ability to protect customers from financial or other problems experienced by broker-dealers." Id. at v. In addition, the SEC has been criticized recently for its failure to supervise and regulate large investment bank holding companies such as Lehman Brothers, Bear Stearns, Merrill Lynch, Goldman Sachs, and Morgan Stanley under its Consolidated Supervised Entities ("CSE") program which created a regime of voluntary regulatory oversight for these companies. See, e.g., SEC's Oversight of Bear Stearns and Related Entities: The Consolidated Supervised Entity Program, Report No. 446-A (Sept. 25, 2008) (available at <http://www.sec.gov/about/oig/oigauditlist.htm>); Julie Satow, Ex-SEC Official Blames Agency for Blow-Up of Broker-Dealers, N.Y. SUN, Sep. 18, 2008, <http://www.nysun.com/business/ex-sec-official-blames-agency-for-blow-up/86130/>.

³¹ The Creditors' Committee is not, at this time, taking any position with respect to the actual financial condition of LBHI at any point leading up to the bankruptcy filing.

were purportedly guaranteed pursuant to various guarantee agreements between JPMC and LBHI (the "Guarantee Agreements"). LBHI's obligations under the Guarantee Agreements were allegedly secured by collateral pledged to JPMC pursuant to various security agreements between JPMC and LBHI (the "Security Agreements").

24. JPMC is party to various clearing agreements among LBHI and certain subsidiaries (including LBI), specifically:

- the Clearance Agreement originally among LBI and The Chase Manhattan Bank, dated on or about June 15, 2000, (the "2000 LBI Agreement"), which on August 28, 2008, in the days before the bankruptcy filings, was expanded to include LBHI, Lehman Brothers International (Europe), Lehman Brothers OTC Derivatives and Lehman Brothers Japan, Inc. as counter-parties;³²
- the Global Custody and Clearance Agreement among LBI and The Chase Manhattan Bank dated on or about March 14, 2001 (the "2001 LBI Agreement");
- the Clearance Agreement among Lehman Brothers Bank, FSB, and JPMC, executed shortly before the Petition Date (on September 10, 2008) (the "2008 FSB Agreement");
- the Clearance Agreement among Lehman Brothers Bankhaus Aktiengesellschaft and JPMC, also executed shortly before the Petition Date (on September 10, 2008) (the "2008 Bankhaus Agreement"); and
- the Clearance Agreement among LBCB and JPMC, also executed shortly before the Petition Date (on September 10, 2008) (the "2008 LBCB Agreement").

25. On August 26, 2008 and September 9, 2008, days before the bankruptcy filings, two Guaranty Agreements relating to the Clearance Agreements were executed:

- the Guaranty dated August 26, 2008 (the "August Guaranty"), under which the "Guarantor" guarantees the obligations of the Lehman Counterparties under the 2000 LBI Agreement, which (i) was executed in favor of JPMC, (ii) defined "Guarantor" as "the undersigned," (iii) contained the signature of "Ian Lowitt, Chief Financial Officer," and (iv) made no mention of LBHI; and

³² In what appears to be an amendment executed in May 2008, Lehman Brothers Commercial Paper ("LBCP") became a party to the 2000 LBI Agreement (although that documentation is not signed). A copy of the unsigned guaranty is annexed to Exhibit A-1 hereto (the 2000 LBI Agreement).

- the Guaranty dated September 9, 2008, that appears to have been provided by LBHI³³ six days before the bankruptcy filing, pursuant to which LBHI agreed to punctually pay all obligations and liabilities of each of LBHI's direct and indirect subsidiaries to Chase "of whatever nature, whether now existing or hereafter incurred, whether created directly or acquired by" JPMC by assignment or otherwise. The September Guaranty purports that it is "in addition to and does not replace the [August Guaranty]."

26. Prior to the Petition Date, LBHI had on-line access to its various accounts with JPMC that enabled it to, among other things, review the location and value of its collateral. JPMC terminated LBHI's on-line access to that information upon the filing of these bankruptcy cases. This Motion also requests that LBHI's on-line access be restored in the same manner provided pre-petition.

27. As set forth above, the Creditors' Committee understands that LBHI had at least \$17 billion in excess assets which were held at JPMC on the Friday going into the weekend before its bankruptcy filing. The Creditors' Committee further understands that, on September 12, 2008, JPMC refused to allow LBHI to access its excess assets and instead "froze" LBHI's account. In freezing LBHI's assets, JPMC was purportedly holding all of LBHI's assets as a potential offset against any claims JPMC may have had against LBHI, although the Creditors' Committee is unaware of how such alleged claims exceeded the assets on hand.

28. The Creditors' Committee believes that as a result of JPMC's actions, LBHI suffered an immediate liquidity crisis that could have been averted by any number of events, none of which transpired.

³³ The Creditors' Committee does not have a copy of the executed version of the September Guaranty.

IV. RELIEF REQUESTED

29. The Creditors' Committee requests the entry of an order, pursuant to sections 105(a) and 1103(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 2004, authorizing and directing (i) the production of documents by the Respondent in response to the document requests attached as Exhibit 2 or to substantially similar document requests, and (ii) the deposition of a representative of the Respondent who is most knowledgeable about the matters for examination set forth in Exhibit 3 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6).

30. The Creditors' Committee is best positioned to investigate, for the benefit of all creditors, the conduct and activities that devastated the Debtors' financial health and precipitated their bankruptcy filings. The Rule 2004 discovery requested in this Motion will provide the Creditors' Committee with information it needs from those parties uniquely positioned to have such information. The Creditors' Committee must obtain such information to properly discharge its duties to its constituency under section 1103(c) of the Bankruptcy Code. On behalf of all unsecured creditors, the Creditors' Committee is entitled to seek and obtain discovery regarding these and other matters relating to the acts, conduct, property, liabilities and financial condition of the Debtors and their estates.

31. The Creditors' Committee requires the requested information to assess fully and investigate potential claims against third parties. Moreover, the discovery sought is tailored to the factual matters raised or implicated by certain issues and events that precipitated the Chapter 11 cases. Compliance with the annexed Rule 2004 document requests by the Respondent will not be burdensome and can be achieved without undue hardship in the time period requested.

V. ARGUMENT

32. Bankruptcy Rule 2004(a) provides that "[o]n motion of any party in interest, the court may order the examination of any entity." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2004(a). Discovery under Rule 2004 includes both document discovery and depositions. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2004(c).

33. Rule 2004 has been termed the "basic discovery device used [in] bankruptcy cases." In re French, 145 B.R. 991, 992 (Bankr. D. S.D. 1992). The purpose of Rule 2004 is to permit a broad investigation into the financial affairs of the debtors to assure the proper administration of bankruptcy estates. In re Symington, 209 B.R. 678, 683 (Bankr. D. Md. 1997) (citations omitted.) The goal of the investigation is to discover assets and expose any fraudulent conduct. In re Recoton Corp., 307 B.R. 751, 755 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2004); In re Symington, 209 B.R. at 683; In re Valley Forge Plaza Assocs., 109 B.R. 669, 674 (E.D. Pa. 1990).

34. Rule 2004(a) provides that "[o]n motion of any party in interest, the court may order the examination of any entity." Thus, a Rule 2004 examination is not limited to the debtor. It may be made of any entity with knowledge of the debtor's acts, property, liabilities, and financial affairs relating to the debtor's bankruptcy proceedings. In re Valley Forge Plaza Assocs., 109 B.R. at 674; In re Fearn, 96 B.R. 135, 138 (Bankr. S.D. Oh. 1989). Emphasizing the broad purpose of Rule 2004, courts have been inclined to allow examination of any third party who can be shown to have had dealings with the debtor. In re Ionosphere Clubs, Inc., 156 B.R. 414 (S.D.N.Y. 1993), *aff'd* 17 F.3d 600 (2d Cir. 1994); In re Recoton Corp., 307 B.R. 751, 755 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2004) ("Any third party who has a relationship with a debtor may be made subject to a Rule 2004 investigation.").

35. Rule 2004(b) provides that the scope of the examination "may relate only to the acts, conduct, or property or to the liabilities and financial condition of the debtor, or to any matter which may affect the administration of the debtor's estate, or to the debtor's right to a discharge." Fed. Bankr. R. 2004(b). In addition, "the examination may also relate to the operation of any business and the desirability of its continuance, the source of any money or property acquired or to be acquired by the debtor for purposes of consummating a plan and the consideration given or offered therefor, and any other matter relevant to the case or to the formulation of a plan." Id.

36. There are two critical differences between discovery under Rule 2004 and discovery under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. First, Rule 2004 discovery is an investigatory tool in that it is undertaken pre-litigation, that is, before the filing of a lawsuit or a motion. In contrast, discovery under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is pretrial, that is, after the filing of a complaint. As such, a Rule 2004 motion need not be tied to specific factual allegations at issue between parties to a complaint or contested matter. In re Symington, 209 B.R. at 683. Rule 2004 discovery is subject to fewer objections on grounds of relevance than discovery issued in connection with a contested matter or adversary proceeding. Id.

37. Second, the scope of a Rule 2004 examination is much broader than discovery under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In re Ecam Publications, Inc., 131 B.R. 556, 559 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1991) (noting that the scope of Rule 2004 questioning was extremely broad); In re Drexel Burnham Lambert Group, Inc., 123 B.R. 702, 711 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1991) (same); In re Corso, 328 B.R. 375, 383 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 2005) (same). Indeed, courts have recognized that the scope of Rule 2004 examinations is broad, unfettered, and can legitimately be in the nature of a "fishing expedition." In re Countrywide Home Loans, Inc., 384 B.R. 373, 400

(Bankr. W.D. Pa. 2008); In re Lev, 2008 WL 207523, at *3 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2008) (unpublished); In re Bakalis, 199 B.R. 443, 447 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 1996); In re Valley Forge Plaza Assocs., 109 B.R. at 674.

38. "The purpose of Rule 2004 examination is 'to show the condition of the estate and to enable the court to discover its extent and whereabouts and to come into possession of it that the rights of creditors may be preserved.'" In re Coffee Cupboard, Inc., 128 B.R. 509, 514 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 1991) (citing Cameron v. United States, 231 U.S. 710, 717 (1914)). A Rule 2004 examination is "designed to bring the Debtor's affairs to light, not to hide them." In re PRS Ins. Group, Inc., 274 B.R. 381, 385 (Bankr. D. Del. 2001). "Because the purpose of the Rule 2004 investigation is to aid in the discovery of assets, any third party who can be shown to have a relationship with the debtor can be made subject to a Rule 2004 investigation." In re Ionosphere Clubs, Inc., 156 B.R. 414, 432 (S.D.N.Y. 1993) , aff'd, 17 F.3d 600 (2d Cir. 1994). The scope of inquiry permitted under a Rule 2004 examination is generally very broad and can "legitimately be in the nature of a fishing expedition." In re Wilcher, 56 B.R. 428, 433 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 1985). See also In re Bakalis, 199 B.R. 443, 447 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 1996) (same); In re Johns-Manville Corp., 42 B.R. 362, 364 (S.D.N.Y. 1984) (same). Examinations under Bankruptcy Rules 2004(a) and (c) may include within their scope, among many other things: any matter which may relate to the property and assets of the estate; the financial condition of the debtor; any matter which may affect the administration of a debtors' estate; and, in a Chapter 11 case, any matter relevant to the case or to the formulation of a plan.

39. Here, JPMC withheld \$17 billion in excess assets from LBHI in the days just prior to the bankruptcy filing, and such substantial assets were transferred to JPMC on the eve of bankruptcy. As such, because there can be no question that JPMC had dealings with

Debtor LBHI, it is subject to examination under Rule 2004. In addition, the information sought by the Creditors' Committee concerns JPMC's actions in withholding assets from LBHI on the business day prior to the Chapter 11 filing. Accordingly, any information in the possession of the Respondent regarding these topics concerns the "acts, conduct, or property" and/or "liabilities and financial condition" of the Debtor LBHI. Consequently, the documents and testimony sought by the Creditors' Committee are squarely within the scope of a Rule 2004 examination.

COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL RULES

40. Notice of this Application will be provided to (i) the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York pursuant to Local Rule 2002-1 of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York ("S.D.N.Y. Bankr. LR").

NO PRIOR REQUEST

41. No prior Application for the relief requested in this motion has been made to this or any other court.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the Creditors' Committee respectfully requests that the Court grant its Rule 2004 motion in its entirety; and grant such other further relief as this Court deems appropriate.

Dated: October 2, 2008
New York, New York

**QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART
OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP**

/s/ Susheel Kirpalani
Kathleen M. Sullivan (KS5492)
Faith E. Gay (FG9357)
Susheel Kirpalani (SK8926)
51 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10010
Telephone No.: (212) 849-7000
Facsimile No.: (212) 849-7100

*Proposed Special Counsel for Official Committee
Of Unsecured Creditors Of Lehman Brothers
Holdings Inc.*

EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X
In re: : Chapter 11 Case
: :
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et : No. 08-13555 (JMP)
al. : :
: Jointly Administered
Debtors. :
----- X

**ORDER, UNDER 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) AND 1103(c) AND FEDERAL RULE OF
BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE 2004, AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING RULE 2004
DISCOVERY OF JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.**

Upon the motion (the "Motion")¹ of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Creditors' Committee") of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. ("LBHI"), pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) and 1103(c) and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2004, for entry of an order authorizing and directing discovery from JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (the "Respondent") in the form of (i) responses to the document requests attached to this motion as Exhibit 2 or substantially similar document requests; and (ii) the deposition of a representative of the Respondent who is most knowledgeable about the matters for examination set forth in Exhibit 3 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6); and this matter being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B); and upon consideration of the Motion; and due and proper notice of the Motion having been given, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

¹ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Motion.

1. The Motion is granted.
2. The Creditors' Committee is permitted to serve document requests that are substantially in the form attached as Exhibit 2. The Respondent shall respond to such document requests twenty (20) days after service.
3. The Creditors' Committee is permitted to serve deposition notices pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6).
4. The Creditors' Committee is authorized to compel production in accordance with Rule 9016 of the Bankruptcy Rules.
5. The Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to any matters, claims, rights or disputes arising from or related to the implementation of this Order.

Dated: October __, 2008
New York, New York

HON. JAMES M. PECK
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

EXHIBIT 2

FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS (JPMorgan Chase)

DEFINITIONS

The terms used herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the definitions set forth below.

1. "Lehman Brothers" means Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. ("LBHI"), and any of its subsidiaries (and any predecessors thereof), directors, officers, employees, affiliates (as defined in the Bankruptcy Code), representatives, advisors, agents, attorneys, associates, associations or any other person acting on their behalf.

2. "LBHI" means Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., including any of its directors, officers employees, affiliates, representatives, advisors, agents, attorneys, associates, associations or any other person acting on its behalf.

3. "LBI" means Lehman Brothers Inc., including any of its directors, officers employees, affiliates, representatives, advisors, agents, attorneys, associates, associations or any other person acting on its behalf.

4. The term "document" is intended to have the broadest possible meaning under Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and includes, without limitation, any writings, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, phone records, electronic, recorded, digitally encoded, graphic, and/or other data compilations from which information can be obtained, translated if necessary, by the respondent through detection devices into reasonably usable form, or other information, including originals, translations and drafts thereof and all copies bearing notations and marks not found on the original. The term "document" includes, without limitation, affidavits, analyses, appointment books, appraisals, articles from publications, (whether in paper, database, electronic or other format(s)), calculations (whether in paper, database, electronic or other format(s)), books, books of account, statements, cables, calendars,

charts, checks (cancelled or un-cancelled), check stubs, confirmations, contracts, correspondence, credit card receipts, desk calendars, desk pads, diaries, diskettes, drafts, estimates, evaluations, filings, financial statements, forms, invoices, journals, ledgers, letters, lists, memoranda, minutes, notations, notes, opinions, orders, pamphlets, papers, partners' and employees' personnel files, partners' and employees' review check lists, permanent files, pictures, press releases, projections, prospectuses, publications, receipts, recordings of conferences, conversations or meetings, reports, statements, statistical records, studies, summaries, tabulations, telegrams, telephone records, telex messages, transcripts, understandings, videotapes, vouchers, work papers, copies of records and documents, and sheets or things similar to any of the foregoing however denominated. The term "document" further includes email and electronic communication whether stored on a personal computer, network computer system, backup computer tape and/or disk, or by some other storage mechanism. The term "document" further means any document now or at any time in the possession, custody, or control of the entity to whom this document request is directed (together with any predecessors, successors, affiliates, subsidiaries or divisions thereof, and their officers, directors, employees, agents and attorneys). Without limiting the term "control" as used in the preceding sentence, a person is deemed to be in control of a document if the person has the right to secure the document or a copy thereof from another person having actual possession thereof, including, but not limited to, work product contracted by you from professional firms.

5. "Concerning" means relating to, referring to, reflecting, describing, evidencing or constituting.

6. "Board of Directors" means LBHI's Board of Directors and any of LBHI's Board of Directors' subcommittees.

7. "Barclays" means Barclays Bank PLC and any of its subsidiaries (and any predecessors thereof), directors, officers, employees, affiliates (as defined in the Bankruptcy Code), representatives, advisors, agents, attorneys, associates, associations or any other person acting on their behalf.

8. "BOA" means Bank of America Corp. and any of its subsidiaries (and any predecessors thereof), directors, officers, employees, affiliates (as defined in the Bankruptcy Code), representatives, advisors, agents, attorneys, associates, associations or any other person acting on their behalf.

9. "JPMC" means JPMorgan Chase & Co. and any of its subsidiaries (and any predecessors thereof), directors, officers (including, without limitation, Jamie Dimon (in whatever capacity)), employees, affiliates (as defined in the Bankruptcy Code), representatives, advisors, agents, attorneys, associates, associations or any other person acting on their behalf.

10. "Merrill Lynch" means Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and any of its subsidiaries (and any predecessors thereof), directors, officers, employees, affiliates (as defined in the Bankruptcy Code), representatives, advisors, agents, attorneys, associates, associations or any other person acting on their behalf.

11. The words "you" or "your" means JPMC and any or all past or present employees, partners, agents, representatives, officers, attorneys, or other persons or entities acting or purporting to act for or on your behalf.

12. The connectives "and" and "or" shall be construed either disjunctively or conjunctively as necessary to bring within the scope of the discovery request all responses that might otherwise be construed to be outside of its scope.

INSTRUCTIONS

A. The documents covered by this request include all documents in your possession, custody or control. Unless otherwise specified, each request herein seeks all documents generated or received by you during the period from July 1, 2008 through and including the date of production.

B. Each request for the production of documents shall be deemed to be continuing in nature. If at any time additional documents come into your possession, custody or control or are brought to your attention, prompt supplementation of your response to these requests is required.

C. You shall produce all documents in the manner in which they are maintained in the usual course of your business or you shall organize and label the documents to correspond with the categories in this request. A request for a document shall be deemed to include a request for any and all file folders within which the document was contained, transmittal sheets, cover letters, exhibits, enclosures, or attachments to the document in addition to the document itself.

D. If and to the extent documents are maintained in a database or other electronic format, you shall produce along with the document(s) software that will enable access to the electronic document(s) or database as you would access such electronic document(s) or database in the ordinary course of your business.

E. Documents shall be produced in such fashion as to identify the department, branch or office in which they were located and, where applicable, the natural person in whose possession it was found and the business address of each document's custodian(s).

F. Any document withheld from production based on a claim of privilege or any similar claim shall be identified by (1) the type of document, (2) the general subject matter of the document, (3) the date of the document, and (4) such other information as is sufficient to identify the document including the author of the document, the addressee of the document, and, where not apparent, the relationship of the author and the addressee to each other. The nature of each claim of privilege shall be set forth.

G. Documents attached to each other should not be separated.

H. Documents not otherwise responsive to this discovery request shall be produced if such documents mention, discuss, refer to, or explain the documents which are called for by this discovery request.

I. The fact that a document is produced by another party does not relieve you of the obligation to produce your copy of the same document, even if the two documents are identical.

J. In producing documents and other materials, you are requested to furnish all documents or things in your possession, custody or control, regardless of whether such documents or materials are possessed directly by you or your directors, officers, agents, employees, representatives, subsidiaries, managing agents, affiliates, accountants, investigators, or by your attorneys or their agents, employees, representatives or investigators.

K. If you object to any part of any request, you shall state fully in writing the nature of the objection. Notwithstanding any objections, you shall nonetheless comply fully with the other parts of the request to which you are not objecting.

L. Each document request shall be construed independently and not with reference to any other document request for the purpose of limitation.

M. The use of the singular form of any word includes the plural and vice versa. The past tense shall include the present tense and vice versa.

N. The term "including" means "including, without limitation."

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

REQUEST NO. 1:

All documents concerning the legal and contractual relationships between LBHI and JPMC, including documents governing credit, liquidity, or clearing relationships, including credit agreements, repurchase agreements, securities contracts, swap agreements, security agreements, guarantee agreements, clearing agreements, and amendments to those agreements.

REQUEST NO. 2:

All documents concerning any assets either owned by LBHI or in which LBHI has an interest held by JPMC, including documents identifying the particular assets held, the value of such assets, the accounts in which such assets are/were held, the terms governing the operation of those accounts, any and all actions by JPMC and and/or Lehman Brothers on those accounts.

REQUEST NO. 3:

All documents concerning the collateral and any valuation of the collateral, including on-line access to the list of all collateral in the same manner provided to LBHI prior to the Petition Date.

REQUEST NO. 4:

All documents concerning any decision by JPMC to freeze accounts held by Lehman Brothers or otherwise limit, circumscribe or restrict Lehman Brothers' ability to access such accounts, on or after September 9, 2008, including documents regarding any discussion within JPMC, or between JPMC and any third party regarding the propriety or advisability of taking such actions, including any communications concerning the same.

REQUEST NO. 5:

All documents concerning any decision by JPMC to liquidate assets following the Petition Date that are or were, immediately prior to the Petition Date, the property of LBHI or in which LBHI has an interest, including all documents pertaining to any requests for bids, responses received, or transactions undertaken as part of such liquidation and all documents reflecting any analysis or discussion within JPMC, or between JPMC and any third party regarding the propriety of such liquidation.

REQUEST NO. 6:

All documents concerning any communications, correspondence or other exchanges involving JPMC and any third party concerning the financial condition of LBHI, its accounts, or the management and administration of its accounts.

REQUEST NO. 7:

All documents concerning communications involving JPMC concerning a possible bankruptcy filing by Lehman Brothers prior to the Petition Date.

REQUEST NO. 8:

All documents concerning clearing advances by JPMC to LBHI between Sept. 12 and Sept. 16, 2008, including all documents regarding communications involving JPMC regarding such advances and all documents regarding the repayment of such advances.

REQUEST NO. 9:

All documents concerning the Guaranty dated August 26, 2008, the May 2008 and August 2008 amendments to the Clearing Agreements, and the Guaranty dated September 9, 2008, including but not limited to, documents concerning JPMC's requests for such guarantees and amendments, any negotiations over such guarantees and amendments, the pledging of security for such guarantees and amendments, any property transferred to LBHI in exchange for such guarantees and amendments, the execution of such guarantees and amendments, including copies of the fully executed guarantees and amendments, and any communications regarding the same.

REQUEST NO. 10:

All documents concerning any requests by Lehman Brothers for credit, including, in the form of loans, advances, and other financing, and any responses by JPMC to such requests, in each case limited to the period from August 15, 2008 to the Petition Date.

REQUEST NO. 11:

All documents concerning the ability of Lehman Brothers to obtain financing from sources other than JPMC during the period from August 15, 2008 to the Petition Date.

EXHIBIT 3

TOPICS OF EXAMINATION FOR DEPOSITION¹

1. JPMC's contractual relationships with LBHI, including through clearing agreements, credit agreements, repurchase agreements, securities contracts, swap agreements, and security agreements.
2. Any accounts held by LBHI at JPMC, including the conduct of JPMC in administering such accounts.
3. The decision by JPMC to freeze an account or accounts held by Lehman Brothers or otherwise limit, circumscribe or restrict Lehman Brothers' access thereto, during September 2008, including the basis for such decision and any analysis undertaken by JPMC concerning its authority for such decision.
4. Communications between JPMC and any third party concerning the financial status of Lehman Brothers during the period from August 15, 2008 to the Petition Date.
5. JPMC's liquidation of assets that were or are the property of LBHI, including specific transactions undertaken as part of such liquidation.
6. JPMC's provision of clearing advances between September 12 and September 16, 2008, including communications between JPMC and any third party concerning the decision to make such advances and concerning the repayment of such advances.
7. JPMC's requests for any guarantees or amendments to clearing agreements, any negotiations over such guarantees or amendments to clearing agreements, the pledging of security for such guarantees or amendments, property transferred to LBHI in exchange for such guarantees or amendments, and the execution of such guarantees or amendments.

¹ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the First Request for Production of Documents.